

Implementation Table for CORE Measures

Performance Area	CAP/Narrative in SQSP for		Implementation Date ¹	Status	ALP ²
	FY 2006	FY 2007			
1. 1 st pay promptness (Core)	Narrative	CAP	04/2004	Data is available	87% in 14/21 days
2. Nonmon Promptness (detection date)	CAP	CAP	04/2004	Any change depends on issue detection date study	80% in 21 days combined Seps and Nonseps
3. Nonmon Quality Seps	CAP	CAP	04/2004	Will be reported separately	75% of cases passing
4. Nonmon Quality Nonseps	CAP	CAP	04/2004	Will be reported separately	75% of cases passing
5. Overpayment Detection Rate	None (GPRA) ³	None (GPRA)	01/2004	Data is available	After assessing data for 4 quarters
6. LAA average age of pending	None	Narrative	06/2005	HB 401change published 04/18/2005	After collecting data for 4 quarters
7. HAA average age of pending	None	Narrative	06/2005	HB 401change published 04/18/2005	After collecting data for 4 quarters
8. LAA Quality	CAP	CAP			
9. New Status	Narrative	CAP	04/2004	No change	60% going to 70%
10a. Tax Quality	Narrative	CAP	01/2004	Done	4 or more functions failing
10b. Tax Quality	Narrative	Narrative (2008 CAP for 2009 SQSP)	01/2005	Done	Failing 1 function for 3 years in row
11. Facilitate Reemployment	None	None	01/2006	Pending OMB Approval	After 4 quarters of data

¹ Implementation date is the date a state begins to collect data to support the measure. For example, states will report new LAA/HAA data for June 2005 on July 20, 2005. The implementation date is June 2005.

² An ALP, Acceptable Level of Performance, is a numerical criterion.

³ States already address the Overpayment Detection rate measure in the narrative because it is a GPRA measure.