

U. S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration Washington, D.C. 20210	CLASSIFICATION Methods of Administration
	CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL OWS
	DATE March 11, 2009

DIRECTIVE: UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROGRAM LETTER No. 18-09

TO: STATE WORKFORCE AGENCIES

FROM: DOUGLAS F. SMALL 
 Deputy Assistant Secretary

SUBJECT: Application of State-Wide Personnel Actions, including Hiring Freezes, to the Unemployment Insurance Program

1. Purpose. To advise states that Unemployment Insurance Program Letter (UIPL) 09-98 expresses the Department's position concerning the application of state-wide personnel actions such as hiring freezes, shutdowns, and furloughs to the unemployment insurance (UI) program.
2. References. Section 303(a)(1) of the Social Security Act (SSA) and UIPL 09-98, issued on January 12, 1998 (63 Fed. Reg. 6774, 6779 (February 10, 1998)).
3. Background. During economic downturns, state revenues decline while demands for UI services increase. As a result of declines in state revenues, states face budget constraints and some may impose hiring freezes or other personnel actions such as furloughs on a state-wide basis. When applied to the UI program, these actions will likely have a detrimental effect on unemployed workers and businesses and result in decreased performance against Federal standards.

UIPL 09-98 expresses the Department's interpretation of the Federal UI law requirements as applied to these state-wide personnel actions. In brief, UIPL 09-98 provides that any state-wide personnel action that does not take into account the needs of the UI program is not a "method of administration" for assuring the proper and prompt delivery of UI services consistent with Section 303(a)(1), SSA. If the UI program is not exempted from such state-wide actions, the UIPL requires states to demonstrate to the Department that it has adequately addressed the UI program's needs.

Rescissions: None	Expiration Date: Continuing
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

A copy of UIPL 09-98 is attached.

4. Action. States are to address state-wide personnel actions applied to the UI program consistent with UIPL 09-98.
5. Inquiries. Inquiries should be directed to your Regional Office.
6. Attachment. UIPL 09-98.

U. S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration Washington, D.C. 20210	CLASSIFICATION UI
	CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL TEUL
	DATE January 12, 1998

DIRECTIVE : UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE PROGRAM LETTER NO. 09-98
 TO : ALL STATE EMPLOYMENT, SECURITY AGENCIES
 FROM : GRACE A. KILBANE *Grace A. Kilbane*
 Director
 Unemployment Insurance Service
 SUBJECT : Application of State-Wide Personnel Actions,
 including Hiring Freezes, to the Unemployment
 Insurance (UI) Program

1. Purpose. To advise States of the Department's position concerning the application of State-wide personnel actions such as hiring freezes, shutdowns and furloughs to the UI program.

2. References. Section 303(a)(1) of the Social Security Act (SSA)

3. Background. Due to State budget constraints, some States have, in the past, imposed hiring freezes, furloughs and other actions on a State-wide basis. These actions are usually taken during economic downturns when State revenues decline while the demand for UI services increases.

State UI agencies have objected to being subjected to these State fiscal actions on the basis that, because Federal UI grants may only be used for UI purposes, there are no savings to the State budget. The Department has supported the UI agencies in articulating this argument. The Department has noted that these actions will likely have a detrimental effect on unemployed workers and businesses and result in decreased performance against Federal standards. Finally, the Department has noted that, since the amount of

RESCISSIONS None	EXPIRATION DATE Continuing
---------------------	-------------------------------

Federal funds provided to a State takes into account the State's workload, Federal funding for UI increases during downturns. In spite of these objections, the UI program has been subjected to these fiscal restrictions.

As a result, the Department has re-examined this matter to determine whether a more proactive stance may be taken to assure the continued delivery of UI services during downturns. This UIPL is issued to advise States of the Department's determination and its basis in Federal law.

4. Department's Position. Section 303(a)(1), SSA, requires, as a condition of a State receiving grants for the administration of its UI law, that State law provide for--

Such methods of administration . . . as are found by the Secretary of Labor to be reasonably calculated to insure full payment of unemployment compensation when due;

One of the basic purposes of this "methods of administration" requirement is to assure the proper and prompt delivery of UI services to claimants and employers. Therefore, any action that does not take into account the service delivery needs of the UI program, is not a "method of administration" consistent with Section 303(a)(1), SSA. This position applies to all State-wide personnel actions, including hiring freezes, shutdowns, furloughs, across-the-board staff reductions, and any process that serves to delay the hiring of UI staff when additional staff is needed.

When a State subjects the UI program to State-wide personnel actions, the State will be required to demonstrate to the Department that it has adequately addressed the UI program's needs. These needs include all activities funded by Federal dollars - payments, determinations, appeals, integrity and tax functions, etc. The State will be required to address how its workload will be handled without a decline in performance. If a State fails to show that its actions adequately take into account the needs of its UI program, then the action is not an acceptable "method of administration" under the SSA and the State's entire UI grant could be at risk. Similarly, if State performance declines despite the State's "showing" that program needs have been considered, then the action may not be an acceptable "method of administration."

The Department recognizes that there may be situations where hiring freezes, staff reductions or other personnel actions taken by the UI agency are necessary. For example, when workload decreases, the need for staff decreases and the UI agency will need to take appropriate action to assure that only amounts necessary for the proper and efficient administration of the UI program are expended from grant funds. Since these actions take into account the needs of the UI program, they raise no issue under Federal law.

5. Action Required. State agency administrators are requested to provide this UIPL to appropriate staff.
6. Inquiries. Direct questions to your Regional Office.